



TITLE:

ON QUASIPERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO VAN DER POL EQUATION(Theory of Dynamical Systems and Its Application to Nonlinear Problems)

AUTHOR(S):

SHINOHARA, Yoshitane; KOHDA, Atsuhito; MITSUI,
Taketomo

CITATION:

SHINOHARA, Yoshitane ...[et al]. ON QUASIPERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO VAN DER POL EQUATION(Theory of Dynamical Systems and Its Application to Nonlinear Problems). 数理解析研究所講究録 1984, 536: 87-96

ISSUE DATE:

1984-09

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/98681>

RIGHT:

ON QUASIPERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO VAN DER POL EQUATION

Yoshitane SHINOHARA (篠原能材)

Atsuhito KOHDA (香田温人)

and

Taketomo MITSUI (三井 斌友)

ABSTRACT

T.Mitsui[2], one of the authors, has established a useful numero-analytical method of investigation of numerical solutions to two nonlinear quasiperiodic differential equations, that is, Duffing type and Van der Pol type. But he fails to estimate the norm of Green function to Van der Pol equation. In the present paper we correct his failures.

1. Notations and Fundamental Theorems

A function $f(t)$ is said to be quasiperiodic with periods $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ if $f(t)$ is represented as

$$(1.1) \quad f(t) = f_0(t, t, \dots, t)$$

for some continuous function $f_0(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ with period ω_i in each u_i .

A linear differential operator

$$(1.2) \quad Lz = \frac{dz}{dt} - A(t)z$$

is said to be almost periodic (or quasiperiodic) if $A(t)$ is almost periodic (or quasiperiodic) matrix.

An almost periodic operator L is said to be regular if for any almost periodic function $f(t)$ the equation

$$(1.3) \quad Lz = f(t)$$

has at least one solution bounded for all $t \in J$, where J denotes the real line.

A quasiperiodic operator is said to be regular if it is regular as an almost periodic operator.

Let $\Phi(t)$ be the fundamental matrix of the linear homogeneous equation

$$(1.4) \quad Lz = 0$$

satisfying the initial condition $\Phi(0) = E$ (unit matrix). Then we have

Proposition 1 ([1]). L is regular if and only if there is a square matrix P such that

- (i) $P^2 = P$,
- (ii) $\|\Phi(t)P\Phi^{-1}(s)\| \leq Ce^{-\sigma(t-s)}$ for $t \geq s$,
- (iii) $\|\Phi(t)(E-P)\Phi^{-1}(s)\| \leq Ce^{-\sigma(s-t)}$ for $t < s$,

where C and σ are positive numbers.

Proposition 2 ([3]). If a quasiperiodic operator L with periods $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ defined by (1.2) is regular, then for any quasiperiodic function $f(t)$ with periods $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ the differential equation (1.3) possesses a unique quasiperiodic solution $z = z(t)$ with the same periods given by

$$(1.5) \quad z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t,s)f(s)ds,$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad G(t,s) = \begin{cases} \Phi(t)P\Phi^{-1}(s) & \text{for } t \geq s, \\ -\Phi(t)(E-P)\Phi^{-1}(s) & \text{for } t < s. \end{cases}$$

$G(t,s)$ is called a Green function for L , and satisfies the inequality

$$(1.7) \quad \|G(t,s)\| \leq Ce^{-\sigma|t-s|}.$$

Our numerical analysis for the quasiperiodic oscillations is based on the following theorem.

Theorem 1 ([3]). Given a nonlinear differential equation

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = X(t, z),$$

where z and $X(t, z)$ are vectors and $X(t, z)$ is quasiperiodic in t with periods $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ and is continuously differentiable with respect to z belonging to a region \mathcal{D} of the z -space.

Suppose that there is a quasiperiodic function $z_0(t)$ with periods $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ such that

$$z_0(t) \in \mathcal{D},$$

$$\left\| \frac{dz_0}{dt}(t) - X[t, z_0(t)] \right\| \leq r$$

for all $t \in J$. Further suppose that there are a positive number δ , a nonnegative number $\kappa < 1$ and a quasiperiodic matrix $A(t)$ with periods $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ such that

(i) the quasiperiodic differential operator L defined by (1.2) is regular;

$$(1.9) \quad (ii) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_\delta = \{z; \|z - z_0(t)\| \leq \delta \text{ for some } t \in J\} \subset \mathcal{D}, \\ \|\Psi(t, z) - A(t)\| \leq \frac{\kappa}{M} \text{ whenever } \|z - z_0(t)\| \leq \delta, \\ \frac{Mr}{1-\kappa} \leq \delta. \end{cases}$$

Here $\Psi(t, z)$ is the Jacobian matrix of $X(t, z)$ with respect to z and

$$(1.10) \quad M = \frac{2C}{\sigma},$$

where C and σ are positive numbers satisfying (1.7).

Then the given equation (1.8) possesses a solution $z = \hat{z}(t)$ quasiperiodic in t with periods $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m$ such that

$$(1.11) \quad \|z_0(t) - \hat{z}(t)\| \leq \frac{Mr}{1-\kappa}$$

for all $t \in J$. For the solution $\hat{z}(t)$, a quasiperiodic differential operator \hat{L} defined by

$$\hat{L}y = \frac{dy}{dt} - \Psi[t, \hat{z}(t)]y$$

is regular. Furthermore, to equation (1.8) there is no other quasiperiodic solution belonging to \mathcal{D}_δ besides $z = \hat{z}(t)$.

2. Van der Pol equation

Consider a Van der Pol equation with a quasiperiodic forcing term such as

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} - 2\lambda(1-x^2)\frac{dx}{dt} + x = a \cdot \cos v_1 t + b \cdot \cos v_2 t,$$

where λ is a positive parameter, $v_1 = 2\pi/\omega_1$, $v_2 = 2\pi/\omega_2$, ω_2/ω_1 is irrational, and neither v_1 nor v_2 are equal to 1.

The equation (2.1) can be written into the vector form

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = A(\lambda)z + \phi(t) + \lambda\eta(z),$$

where

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2x^2y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\phi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a \cdot \cos v_1 t + b \cdot \cos v_2 t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider a linear differential operator depending on λ such that

$$(2.3) \quad L(\lambda)w = \frac{dw}{dt} - A(\lambda)w,$$

then we have that $L(\lambda)$ is regular as a quasiperiodic operator for $\lambda < 1$ and that the Green function for $L(\lambda)$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad G(t, s) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq s,$$

and

$$G(t,s) = -e^{\lambda(t-s)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta(t-s) - \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \sin\theta(t-s) & \frac{1}{\theta} \sin\theta(t-s) \\ -\frac{1}{\theta} \sin\theta(t-s) & \cos\theta(t-s) + \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \sin\theta(t-s) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $t < s$,

where $\theta = \sqrt{1-\lambda^2}$. Hence, for the ℓ_∞ norm, the Green function $G(t,s)$ satisfies the inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \|G(t,s)\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\theta} e^{-\lambda|t-s|},$$

and the quasiperiodic solution to the linear equation

$$(2.6) \quad L(\lambda)z = \phi(t)$$

is given by $z = z_0(t;\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} x_0(t;\lambda) \\ y_0(t;\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(t;\lambda) &= \frac{a}{(1-v_1^2)^2 + 4\lambda^2 v_1^2} \{ (1-v_1^2) \cos v_1 t - 2\lambda v_1 \sin v_1 t \} + \\ &\quad \frac{b}{(1-v_2^2)^2 + 4\lambda^2 v_2^2} \{ (1-v_2^2) \cos v_2 t - 2\lambda v_2 \sin v_2 t \}, \\ y_0(t;\lambda) &= \frac{av_1}{(1-v_1^2)^2 + 4\lambda^2 v_1^2} \{ -(1-v_1^2) \sin v_1 t - 2\lambda v_1 \cos v_1 t \} + \\ &\quad \frac{bv_2}{(1-v_2^2)^2 + 4\lambda^2 v_2^2} \{ -(1-v_2^2) \sin v_2 t - 2\lambda v_2 \cos v_2 t \}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the constant number K by

$$(2.7) \quad K = \max \left(\frac{|a|}{|1-v_1^2|} + \frac{|b|}{|1-v_2^2|}, \frac{|a|v_1}{|1-v_1^2|} + \frac{|b|v_2}{|1-v_2^2|} \right),$$

then we have the following estimate

$$(2.8) \quad |x_0(t;\lambda)|, |y_0(t;\lambda)| < K$$

for all $t \in J$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Using the estimate (2.8) for

$x_0(t; \lambda)$ and $y_0(t; \lambda)$, we can estimate the residual function for $z_0(t; \lambda)$ in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{dz_0}{dt}(t; \lambda) - A(\lambda)z_0(t; \lambda) - \phi(t) - \lambda\eta(z_0(t; \lambda)) \right\| \\ &= \left\| -\lambda\eta(z_0(t; \lambda)) \right\| \leq 2\lambda |x_0^2(t; \lambda)y_0(t; \lambda)| \\ &\leq 2\lambda K^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can choose

$$(2.9) \quad r = 2\lambda K^3.$$

Let $D_K = \{z ; \|z\| \leq 2K\}$, $D' = \bigcup_{t \in J} \{z ; \|z - z_0(t; \lambda)\| \leq K\}$. It is clear that $z_0(t; \lambda) \in D_K$ for any $t \in J$ and $D' \subset D_K$.

Let us denote the Jacobian matrix of the right-hand side of (2.2) with respect to z by $\Psi(z; \lambda)$. Then we have for $z \in D'$ the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad \left\| \Psi(z; \lambda) - A(\lambda) \right\| &\leq 2\lambda(2|y| + |x|)|x| \\ &\leq 24\lambda K^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.10), the inequality (2.5) implies

$$(2.11) \quad M = \frac{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}.$$

In order to apply Theorem 1 to the present case, we have to check the inequalities in (1.9). The question is "Is it possible to take a nonnegative number $\kappa < 1$ satisfying the both inequalities

$$(2.12) \quad 24\lambda K^2 \leq \frac{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}} \kappa,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}} 2\lambda K^3 \leq (1-\kappa)K$$

under the assumption $0 < \kappa < 1$?".

The answer is affirmative when the inequality

$$K \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}{52\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}}$$

holds, because then we have the inequalities

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}} 24\lambda K^2 \leq \frac{48}{52},$$

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}} 2\lambda K^2 \leq \frac{4}{52},$$

so that we can obtain such a nonnegative number $\kappa < 1$ that the both inequalities (2.12) and (2.13) hold. Hence we have

Theorem 2 ([2]). If $0 < \lambda < 1$ holds, and if the constant

$$K = \max \left(\frac{|a|}{|1-v_1^2|} + \frac{|b|}{|1-v_2^2|}, \frac{|a|v_1}{|1-v_1^2|} + \frac{|b|v_2}{|1-v_2^2|} \right)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$(2.14) \quad K \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}{52\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}},$$

then the given equation (2.1) possesses a quasiperiodic solution $z = \hat{z}(t)$ with periods ω_1, ω_2 such that

$$\| \hat{z}(t) - z_0(t; \lambda) \| \leq K \quad \text{for all } t \in J.$$

If the inequality (2.14) does not hold, in order to assure the existence of an exact quasiperiodic solution $\hat{z}(t)$ to (2.1) we have to compute a more accurate approximate quasiperiodic solution than $z_0(t)$. For this purpose we have considered an approximate quasiperiodic solution written in the form

$$x_m(t) = \alpha(0,0) + \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{|p|=r} \{\alpha_p \cos(p,v)t + \beta_p \sin(p,v)t\},$$

$$y_m(t) = \frac{d}{dt}x_m(t), \text{ where } (p,v) = p_1 v_1 + p_2 v_2, |p| = |p_1| + |p_2|$$

and determined the unknown coefficients $\alpha(0,0)$, α_p , β_p by means of the Galerkin method. For the detail computation, see [2].

Thus for the computed Galerkin approximation

$$\bar{x}_m(t) = \bar{\alpha}(0,0) + \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{|p|=r} \{\bar{\alpha}_p \cos(p,v)t + \bar{\beta}_p \sin(p,v)t\}$$

we have the residual function

$$r(t) = \frac{d^2 \bar{x}_m}{dt^2}(t) - 2\lambda(1 - \bar{x}_m^2(t)) \frac{d\bar{x}_m}{dt}(t) + \bar{x}_m(t) - a \cdot \cos v_1 t - b \cdot \cos v_2 t$$

which can be expanded into the finite double Fourier series as follows:

$$r(t) = f(0,0) + \sum_{r=1}^{3m} \sum_{|p|=r} \{f_p \cos(p,v)t + g_p \sin(p,v)t\}.$$

Put

$$(2.15) \quad r = |f(0,0)| + \sum_{r=1}^{3m} \sum_{|p|=r} \{|f_p| + |g_p|\},$$

then we have

$$|r(t)| \leq r \quad \text{for all } t \in J.$$

Define

$$(2.16) \quad \Omega = |\bar{\alpha}(0,0)| + \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{|p|=r} (|\bar{\alpha}_p| + |\bar{\beta}_p|),$$

and

$$(2.17) \quad \Omega' = \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{|p|=r} |(p,v)| (|\bar{\alpha}_p| + |\bar{\beta}_p|),$$

then we have the inequalities

$$\Omega \geq \sup |\bar{x}_m(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega' \geq \sup |\bar{y}_m(t)|.$$

For z which lies into the δ -neighbourhood of $\bar{z}_m(t)$ = ${}^t(\bar{x}_m(t), \bar{y}_m(t))$, we have

$$\|\Psi(z; \lambda) - A(\lambda)\| \leq 2\lambda\{\Omega(2\Omega' + \Omega) + 2(\Omega' + 2\Omega)\delta + 3\delta^2\}.$$

By (2.11), for the Green function $G(t, s)$, we have

$$(2.18) \quad M = \frac{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}.$$

If there exist a non-negative number $\kappa < 1$ and a positive number δ satisfying the both inequalities

$$(2.19) \quad 2\lambda\{\Omega(2\Omega' + \Omega) + 2(\Omega' + 2\Omega)\delta + 3\delta^2\} \leq \frac{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}} \cdot \kappa,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{r}{1-\kappa} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}} \leq \delta,$$

then by Theorem 1, the exact quasiperiodic solution $\hat{z}(t)$ = ${}^t(\hat{x}(t), \hat{y}(t))$ with periods ω_1 and ω_2 exists and the error estimate of $\bar{z}_m(t)$ is given by

$$(2.21) \quad \|\bar{z}_m(t) - \hat{z}(t)\| \leq \frac{r}{1-\kappa} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}},$$

that is,

$$|\bar{x}_m(t) - \hat{x}(t)|, \left| \frac{d}{dt} \bar{x}_m(t) - \frac{d}{dt} \hat{x}(t) \right| \leq \frac{r}{1-\kappa} \cdot \frac{2\sqrt{2+2\lambda}}{\lambda\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}$$

for all $t \in J$.

References

- [1] Coppel W.A., Dichotomies in stability theory,
Lecture Note in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [2] Mitsui T., Investigation of numerical solutions of
some nonlinear quasiperiodic differential equations,
Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., Vol.13, NO.3(1977), 793-820.
- [3] Urabe M., On the existence of quasiperiodic solutions
to nonlinear quasiperiodic differential equations,
Nonlinear Vibration Problems, Warsaw, 1974, 85-93.